

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER

10/10/2007

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
Hideo Takami	OGOSH35USA	4374
270 7590 : 10/10/2007 HOWSON AND HOWSON	EXAMINER	
	ZHU, WEIPING	
501 OFFICE CENTER DRIVE FT WASHINGTON, PA 19034	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	1793	
	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	Hideo Takami	Hidco Takami OGOSH35USA 0/10/2007 EXAM ZHU, W ART UNIT 1793

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/543,103	TAKAMI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Weiping Zhu	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was railure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Octoor</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1 and 4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Claims 1 and 4 are currently under examination, wherein no claim has been amended in applicant's amendment filed on August 17, 2007. Applicant's election without traverse of Invention I, claims 1 and 4 in the amendment filed on August 17, 2007 is acknowledged. The original claims 6 and 10-14 have been cancelled by the applicant in the same amendment.

Status of Previous Rejections

2. The previous rejections of Claims 1 and 4 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as stated in the Office action dated April 17, 2007 are maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2002-352483 as stated in the Office action dated April 17, 2007.

Response to Arguments

4. The applicant's arguments filed on August 17, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that the structure of the sputtering target of JP ('483) is different from that of the instant invention and the method of manufacturing the

Art Unit: 1742

sputtering target of JP ('483) is neither identical nor substantially identical to the instant invention as disclosed; therefore, the same density variation, the same B/A ratio and the same composition variation would not be provided by the sputtering target of JP ('483). In response, the examiner notes that the rejection was based on the prior art's broad disclosure rather than preferred embodiments. See MPEP 2123; the structure or composition of the prior art have to be identical or substantially identical in order to rely on In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1255, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977) or MPEP 2112.01 [R-3] I. to establish the rejection grounds. The composition of JP ('483) is identical to the claimed composition as stated in the Office action dated April 17, 2007. Furthermore, JP ('483) discloses that the structure of the sputtering target comprises Cr₁₁Ge₁₉ distributed in Ge matrix (paragraph [0014] and Figure 1, translation), which is identical or substantially identical to the structure as disclosed in the instant invention. The mean particle size of 100 or 50 microns of the Cr powder of JP ('483) overlaps the disclosed particle size of 75 microns or less of the instant invention. A prima facie case of obviousness exists. See MPEP 2144.05 I. JP ('483) discloses blending Ge and Cr powders to make a mixture of 50-95 at. % Ge and 5-50 at. % of Cr (paragraph [0011], translation). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use any types of the blending known in the art to blend the powders of JP ('483) with expected success, because JP ('483) discloses the same utility of any types of the blending known in the art. Therefore, as stated in the Office action dated April 17, 2007, the Ge-Cr alloy sputtering target of JP ('483) is identical or substantially identical to that of the instant disclosure and both sputtering targets are produced by identical or substantially

Art Unit: 1742

identical processes, therefore a prima facie case of obviousness exists. The same density variation, the same B/A ratio and the same composition variation would be expected in the Ge-Cr alloy sputtering target of JP ('483) as in the claimed Ge-Cr alloy sputtering target.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Weiping Zhu whose telephone number is 571-272-6725. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-16:30 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/543,103

Art Unit: 1742

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

WZ

10/1/2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700